

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1427 OF 2005

Maharashtra Suraksha Rakshak Aghadi. ... Petitioner
Versus.
The Security Guards Board for
Gr.Mumbai and Thane District & others. ... Respondents.

Shri Susheel Mahadeshwar for the Petitioner.

Shri M.S.Topkar for Respondent No.1.

Shri P.K.Rele for Respondent No.2.

Ms.Neha Pathak i/by M/s.D.M.Law for Respondent No.3.

**CORAM : H.L.GOKHALE, &
ABHAY S. OKA, JJ.**

DATED : 16th JANUARY, 2006.

P.C.:

1. Heard Shri Mahadeshwar in support of this
petition. Shri Topkar appears for Respondent No.1.

Shri Rele, Senior Advocate appears for Respondent No.2

and Ms. Pathak appears for Respondent No.3.

2. Rule made returnable forthwith. The learned
Counsel appearing for the Respondents waive service and
are heard.

3. The question involved in this petition is
similar to the one which was decided by another Division
Bench in Writ Petition No.2303 of 2004. The
Petitioner-Union is representing 11 employees whose
names are listed at Exh.A to the petition. The posting

orders of these persons are also annexed thereafter which show that they have been working since some date in the year 2003. It is their case that they have completed more than 240 days. However, they have to register themselves with the 1st Respondent-Board. Shri Mahadeshwar states that the employees concerned will apply to the Board for registration. The Board will decide their application for registration in accordance with the governing Rules. In the meanwhile the services of these employees will not be discontinued unless they are involved in any misconduct. Shri Rele appearing for the 2nd Respondent-Bank states that the Bank will also apply for registration.

4. Rule made absolute as above with no order as to costs.

(H.L.Gokhale, J.)

(Abhay S.Oka, J.)